

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/633,385	Applicant(s) WOLF ET AL.	
	Examiner Roy M. Punnoose	Art Unit 2886	

All Participants:

- (1) Roy M. Punnoose.
- (2) Atty. Allison Johnson (Reg.No.36,173).

Status of Application: Allowed

- (3) _____
- (4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007

Time: 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
The structural relationship of the dichromatic mirror

Claims discussed:
1, 70

Prior art documents discussed:
Schrof et al (US Patent 5,949,532)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Atty. Johnson agreed to amend claim 1 to include the structural relationship of the dichromatic mirror, to better describe applicant's claimed invention with an Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)